1 2	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332 United States Attorney)
3 4	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division	
5 6 7 8 9	BENJAMIN P. TOLKOFF (NYB 4294443) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7296 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 Benjamin.Tolkoff@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff	
11		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
15		
16	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 09-0969 JSW
17	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER) EXCLUDING TIME UNDER FED. R. CRIM.
18	v.	P. 5.1 and 18 U.S.C. § 3161
19 20	ANTOINE DAVIS,	
21	Defendant.	
22		
23	On October 14, 2009, the parties in this case appeared before the Court for the defendant's initial appearance. At that time, the parties requested, and the Court agreed, to hold a detention hearing on October 19, 2009. The parties requested that pursuant to Federal Rule of	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	unough October 17, 2007. On October 19, 2	2007, and parties appeared before the Court for a

detention hearing. At that time the defendant waived the detention hearing without prejudice 1 2 reserving the right to request release in the event that his state parole status changes. The matter 3 was set for October 22, 2009 for an initial appearance in district court. The parties requested that 4 time from October 19 through October 22, 2009 be excluded under the speedy trial act, 18 U.S.C. 5 § 3161, to afford adequate preparation of counsel and assure continuity of counsel. The parties 6 agree that, taking into account the public interest in prompt disposition of criminal cases, good 7 cause exists for this extension. 8 The defendant also agrees to exclude for this period of time any time limits applicable 9 under 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The parties represented that granting the continuance was the 10 reasonable time necessary for continuity of defense counsel and effective preparation. 18 U.S.C. 11 § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also agreed that the ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweighed the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 12 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). 13 SO STIPULATED: 14 15 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO 16 **United States Attorney** 17 DATED: October 19, 2009 18 BENJAMIN P. TOLKOFF 19 Assistant United States Attorney 20 21 DATED: October 19, 2009 STEVEN KALAR 22 Attorney for ANTOINE DAVIS 23 // 24 25 26 27 // 28

Case 3:09-cr-00969-JSW Document 7 Filed 10/21/09 Page 3 of 3

For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that the exclusion of time from October 14 through October 22, 2009 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(7)(A); FRCP 5.1(d). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the defendant effective preparation of counsel, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

SO ORDERED.

DATED: 10/21/09

HONDE IT IS SO ORDERED
United St. IT IS SO ORDERED
Judge Elizabeth D. Laporte
Judge Elizabeth D. Laporte